

I've set out briefly some acceptable objections. Hope they're useful. You can use them all, or just one. These are just simple planning reasons to object. Please stick to planning Material Conditions ; objections on profit, ownership or views etc don't count. Please copy this out for none-PC users to have. Sharing is also appreciated.

1

My objection on traffic grounds is that traffic from all the eastern villages as far as the coast, is all funnelled through the already very busy A1033 and Hedon Road, which is under great pressure from existing users. When the site is developed many heavy transporters will use Hedon Road, and eventually, when operative, the amount of traffic from workers and visitors will add to an already over-used route. The traffic on Saltend Roundabout will be very bad at rush hours, and local people will be disadvantaged by many extra vehicles.

What will happen when the improvements to Castle Street take place, will be horrendous.

The applicant claims that access to the motorway system is fast and easy. We read at least once a month that the A63 and M62 are blocked, and as it was shown after the accident on April 3rd. this can cause hold-ups of many hours, so that claim is not supportable

2

I object to this land being granted planning approval because it is not included in East Riding's Local Plan. This ward already has an enormous amount of employment or industrial/commercial land just to the south in the ownership of ABP where 453 acres are presently being marketed, and wildlife mitigation works, ponds and flooding prevention measures etc. are already in place. So, it can be shown that alternative land is currently available. If the Energy Park goes on the ABP land it will be much more likely to gain local support,

S W Holderness does not need this extra land being developed, we already have more than our fair share, more than most other wards this size.

Here's one on flooding. Using the recent photos of over-full drains and fields nearby might be helpful, or videos, but not sure we can use them.

3.

Already Hedon and Preston South, and other nearby villages are at severe risk from flooding. This land is like a giant sponge which holds a tremendous amount of surface water, which would otherwise drain into already full drains and add to our flooding fears. The nearby drains and fields are suffering the impacts of the heavy rainfall of recent days.

Changing the surface from just grass, with its natural soaking up facility, into acres of concrete and tarmac, no matter that it is proposed to put attenuation tanks or ponds into place, will inevitably give us greater flooding potential.

4.

There are already objections, which I support, which point out a number of sightings of curlews, plovers, water-voles, skylarks, cuckoos, kingfishers, little egrets, greater spotted newts and several other endangered species, which benefit from this vast area of pasture land. It is invaluable to these creature as habitaion and breeding land. To my mind, the experts providing specialist consultations, have been looking at the wrong time or in the wrong places.

I note comments in the responses of The Yorkshire Wildlife Trust, The RSPB, The Nature Conservancy Officer and Natural England, which are all in opposition to the development. In particular, the criticism that the amount of land set aside for endangered species is nowhere near enough, and its suitability as a mitigation zone is questionable. This land is wholly unsuitable and only results in about two hectares actually being available to the curlew etc., not the vast amount claimed by the applicant. This is much less than is actually needed.

In addition, light and noise disturbance would be caused to these endangered species. Football and rugby league players and fans are not noted for their quietness, and the floodlighting will be seen for miles around. Also, the hotel's guests will be subjected to disturbance by teams and supporters when playing and training.

5.

It is only three and a half years ago that local people voted in the East Riding Referendum, which mainly came about after the proposal to develop the aerodrome land was publicised. Across the region, 75% or 50,000 people, voted, and of them 96.5% said NO to the idea that the land, and similar land elsewhere, was to be developed at all, and that towns and villages surrounding the city would become part of Hull. The outcome was a massive rejection, and we see no reason to change that judgement. The local people had voted, and a resounding majority were against the idea. We do not choose to live in Hull, we are country folk and we do not belong in a city.

6.

The East Riding have for years been against the principle of coalescence of communities. By approving this application, the affect would be that both the communities of Preston South and Hedon would virtually be lost forever to the urban sprawl of industrialisation from the city. A precedent would be set which would be easing the way to more such applications, and a greater adverse impact.

The East Riding Council have to date been succesful in keeping the industrial development to the western side of Staithes Road. The granting of the application on this vast piece of pasture land, which is the last barrier between the city and these ancient communities, would be a very big misjudgement.

7.

The height of the proposed buildings at Saltend Roundabout, in some cases eight stories (100 feet) or more, will give rise to a very enclosed, over-developed and unacceptable approach to the town of Hedon. We are used to a much more pleasant, rural outlook, is this to be taken away from us?

Reminder - only these type of reasons, namely Material Conditions, can be taken into account by the planners. Other reasons such as views, ownership, profits and motives will all be ignored.

If you're having problems with your objection letter, give me a ring 899214, or email me